ZOO'S PRINT Communicating Science for Conservation

Magazine of Zoo Outreach Organization www.zoosprint.zooreach.org

ISSN 0971-6378 (Print); 0973-2543 (Online) Vol. XXXV, No. 6 June 2020



Vol. XXXV, No. 6, June 2020

ISSN 0971-6378 (Print); 0973-2543 (Online)

Contents

TICIBITS

- A friend at 17000ft -- Kamakshi Lekshmanan, P. 1
- Wildlife: After all these years! -- Prashant Mahajan, P. 2

REPTILE RAP

First record of erythrism in *Eutropis* cf *macularia* from Vadodara, Gujarat -- Nikunj Jambu, Pp. 3–4

Bird-o-soar

Status and composition of avifauna in Kurud Dam, Raipur District - Chhattisgarh, India -- A.M.K. Bharos, Faiz Bux, Akhilesh Bharos & Jageshwar Verma, Pp. 5–21

Birds at KFRI Campus, Thrissur, Kerala, India -- P. Greeshma, Riju P. Nair, E.A. Jayson & P.M. Nishad, Pp. 22–28

Foraging behaviours of Baya Weaver Ploceus philippinus, during non-breeding seasons in northern Tamil Nadu, India -- M. Pandian, Pp. 29-32

Bird deaths by kite *manjha* in Jammu City -- Rahul Vikram Singh, Pp. 33–35

Vet Brief

Rediscovery of *Cyclopodia sykesii*, an ectoparasite on the Indian Flying Fox from Udayagiri Range, Odisha, India -- Ashirwad Tripathy, Pp. 36–38

Mammal Tales

Plastic in Elephant dung -- Ankita Das, Pp. 39–40

BOOK REVIEW Valley of Hope: Moyar and Vultures -- Byju, H., Pp. 41-42

Cover photo by Kamakshi Lekshmanan.

A friend at 17000ft



Cold. Gripping cold. Sleet filled landscape. A white carpet welcome at around 17,000 ft. As I immersed myself into the mountains of white, a handsome Red Fox rushed towards me. In the wild. A moment of thrill as the fox kept moving towards the vehicle.

I quickly moved to the other side of the jeep, ensuring a safe distance between both the fox and me. It was interesting to watch the curious fox that had nailed himself to the only vehicle surrounded by snow. Probably, the winter kept him hungry. He rushed for some meat. His leaps were a wonder to watch – measured, fun, and effortless.

> The Himalayan Red Fox belongs to the order Carnivora. *Vulpes vulpes* has a vast distribution ranging from the Arctic to the African landscapes. Primarily this species feeds on rodents and other small-sized carnivores. It is found scavenging on birds and eggs. We also understand that foxes relish wild fruits as well.

> > Mostly solitary foxes are found residing in their dens, although some are found in pairs or mother and pups.

These dens are known to have more than one entrance. They colive with other friends in the Himalayan landscape such as the Snow Leopards, Tibetan Wolves, and a wide range of antelopes! Though the lifespan of foxes is up to twelve years, in most cases they live up to five years.

Expansion of human habitat has been a cause of concern for their survival. However, since their food habits seem to be a mix of small animals and fruits, the fox community has been able to adapt to a changing environment.

https://zoosprint.zooreach.org/public/journals/1/VIDEO-2020-06-19-17-24-56.mp4 https://zoosprint.zooreach.org/public/journals/1/VIDEO-2020-06-19-17-26-05.mp4

by Kamakshi Lekshmanan, Coimbatore





WJLDLJ38: After all these Years!

After all these years wildlife disappears From the known noises To the extinct voices We try to redeem our mistakes By revolving around the same choice Hoping to see the beauty of nature By bringing down the home of beautiful creatures.



So, after all these years wildlife disappears Fulfilling our every need By killing animals for the greed In the riots of reservation We neglect wildlife conservation And if we are done with the claws, horns, skins and scales Let not bring it down for the future tales







And after all these years wildlife disappears All we show them our rage By putting them inside the cage Shrinking the forests for our benefit Then complain why so much of conflict But then also world is not at rest Trying to conserve the wildlife at its best So that after few years wildlife can reappear.

Vol. 35 | No. 6



Prashant Mahajan Project Fellow, Wildlife Institute of India Chandrabani, Dehradun. Email: Prashant_mahajan@rocketmail.com Mobile: 9997999970





REPTILE RAP



First record of erythrism in *Eutropis* cf *macularia* from Vadodara, Gujarat



Image 1. Individual of *Eutropis* cf. *macularia* photographed from the Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda showing erythrism. © Nikunj Jambu.

In reptiles, eight different types of colour aberrations have been described. The most common are albinism, leucism or melanism, and rare are amelanism, axanthism, erythrism, hypomelanism or piebaldism (Bechtel 1995), although their nomenclature is not consensual. Erythrism is defined as naturally occurring colour condition of animals with excessive production and deposition of red and orange pigments (erythrophores) with various shades and degrees of intensity (Gilhen 2010; Moore & Ouellet 2014).

During May 2010, while setting up posters during a science fair near Memorial Library of the Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, I came across a skink with strange colouration. Not knowing much details about it, I just photographed the individual with Samsung marine phone (1.3 megapixel camera). The individual was not handled and examined. The skink species was later identified to be belonging possibly to *Eutropis macularia* complex (Bronze Grass Skink) from the photograph after ruling out other *Eutropis* species occurring in the area. A typical *Eutropis macularia* individual will show deep-brown, olive or bronze-brown in colour; dorso-lateral bands light or yellow; sometimes with black spots on the base of the tail.

Breeding males have orange colour on the lateral side of the body and head. Juveniles are grey with a bronze head (Das & Das 2017) (Image 2). The photographed individual seems to be showing erythrism (Image 1) evident by extreme reddish colouration across the body and lack of other colouration belonging to any sex or age group (breeding and non-breeding) (Image 2). I have never come across any individual of *Eutropis macularia* complex over years across the

REPTILE RAP

SARN S. Asian Reptile Network



Image 2. Typical colouration shown by *Eutropis macularia* complex: A—individual from Ratanmahal Wildlife Sanctuary (© Harshil Patel) | B—individual from Bhat, Jambughoda Wildlife Sanctuary | C—individual from Halol, Panchmahals | D—individual from Kada Dam, Jambughoda Wildlife Sanctuary (© B,C,D Maitry Jani).

country showing such colour aberration. Maèát et al. (2016) describes erythrism as one of the rarest aberration in Palaearctic snakes. Although, there are handful of reports of erythrism in reptiles across world, there seems to be no published record of erythrism in reptiles from India. This forms a very important and first record of erythrism in *Eutropis cf. macularia* from India.

References

Bechtel, H.B. (1995). *Reptile and Amphibian Variants: Colors, Patterns and Scales.* Krieger Publishing Company, Malabar, Florida, 206pp

Das, I. & A. Das (2017). A Naturalist's Guide to the Reptiles of India, Bangladesh, Bhutan, Nepal, Pakistan and Sri Lanka. John Beaufoy Publishing Ltd., Oxford, 176 pp Gilhen, J. (2010). Erythrism in the Maritime Garter Snake, Thamnophis sirtalis pallidulus, in Nova Scotia. The Canadian Field-Naturalist 124: 99–103.

Maèát, Z., D. Hegner & D. Jablonski (2016). Erythrism in the smooth snake, *Coronella austriaca* (laurenti, 1768), recorded from Georgia. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 23(1): 2016 73–76.

Moore, J.D. & M. Ouellet (2014). A review of colour phenotypes of the Eastern Red-backed Salamander, *Plethodon cinereus*, in North America. *The Canadian Field-Naturalist* 128: 250–259.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Dr. Harshil Patel for helping me with the identification of the individual. He also provided me with the photograph of a typical individual from another locality. Secondly, I am thankful to the anonymous reviewer for providing constructive criticism on the manuscript. Special thanks to my friend, Vandana Viswanath, for helping with the manuscipt. I would like to extend my thanks also to Maitry Jani for providing me with photographs from different localities.

Nikunj Jambu

A/5, Sainath Society, B/h Yash Complex, Gotri Road, Vadodara, Gujarat 390021, India. Email: jambu.nikunj@ gmail.com

Citation: Jambu, N. (2020). New record of erythrism in *Eutropis* cf *macularia* from Vadodara, Gujarat. Reptile Rap #200, In: *Zoo's Print* 35(6): 03–04.

Status and composition of avifauna in Kurud Dam, Raipur District - Chhattisgarh, India

Chhattisgarh state bears tropical climate with its relevant flora and fauna. This state is amply studded with numerous water bodies, tanks, and ponds, with the major river basin being *Mahanadi* for the central plains, *Hasdeo River* basin for northern hilly range, and Godavari River basin for Dandkaranya hills in south.

The available works had revealed 246 avian species in central region of Chhattisgarh, 429 species in Chhattisgarh (Bharos 2017), Bharos and Sahu (2002), (Bharos 2018), Bharos et al. (2019), Chandra & Singh (2004).

Kurud Dam has been the hunting ground for game lovers in the past, but at present, it is a prime spot for bird watchers and photographers. With commensuration to the type of available habitats, variety of aquatic and grass & arboreal bird species occur in good numbers. Despite this fact, this site and its avian species remains undocumented. To fill this gap, this study was undertaken and is the first attempt to highlight the avifauna of Kurud dam, being an important wetland in the central plains of Chhattisgarh.

Study Area

The particulars of the study area the Kurud Dam are tabulated in Table 2, Fig.1.



Kurud Dam.



Threat.

Methods

The study site was visited for amateur bird watching since 2007, the observed bird species were only listed in our field diaries. We noticed high species composition and congregation of resident as well as migratory species. This prompted us to take up serious studies.

Hence, since October 2015 more intensive study was undertaken to fill up the lacuna of avian documentation from Kurud Dam. Field



Figure 1. Location of Kurud Dam.



Surrounding Habitat.

surveys were aptly designed and intensively conducted in all three seasons viz. winter, summer, and monsoon, from October 2015 to December 2019. The study was carried out at different hours of the day invariably between 6–10am and 3–6pm to obtain maximum prudent outcome. We collected observations by Look and See method (Bibby et al.1992) at pre-determined points, following Point Count method (Javed & Kaul 2002) by noting direct sightings of the birds, walking along the shoreline to collect bird observations. The study was conducted in a gap of every fortnight invariably, and observations were collected as and when possible.



Adjacent Agri field.

Binoculars (Olympus 8-16 X 40) and cameras (CANON DSLR 7D & CANON SX60HS) were deployed for observing and obtaining the images of species encountered. The identification was confirmed following Ali & Ripley (1987), Grimmett et.al. (1998, 2014), Rahmani (2008), Rasmussen & Anderton (2012). Difficult species were identified by members of BNHS-*ENVIS*.

Roosting hours in the evening and early morning hours of congregation of birds were observed to determine the species and their roost, along with utilization of the habitat at and near the dam. We encountered more bird species in the roosting (evening) hours

Chhattisgarh
Dam,
Kurud
ď
Birds
÷
Table

	Order	Family	English name	Scientific name	Resident Status	IUCN Status	Food Habits	Habitat
-			Lesser Whistling Duck	Dendrocygna javanica	В	ГC	Omnivorous	A
2			Bar-headed Goose	Anser indicus	Μ	LC	Omnivorous	A
ю			Red-crested Pochard	Netta rufina	Μ	LC	Omnivorous	A
4			Common Pochard	Aythya ferina	Σ	٧U	Omnivorous	A
5			Ferruginous Duck	Aythya nyroca	Μ	NT	Omnivorous	A
9			Tufted Duck	Aythya fuligula	Μ	LC	Omnivorous	А
7			Garganey	Spatula querquedula	Σ	LC	Omnivorous	A
8	Aliserilorities	Allalluae	Northern Shoveler	Spatula clypeata	Μ	LC	Omnivorous	А
6			Gadwall	Mareca strepera	Μ	LC	Omnivorous	А
10			Indian Spot-billed Duck	Anas poecilorhyncha	В	LC	Omnivorous	А
11			Northern Pintail	Anas acuta	Μ	LC	Omnivorous	А
12			Common Teal	Anas crecca	Μ	LC	Omnivorous	А
13			Comb Duck	Sarkidiornis melanotos	В	LC	Omnivorous	А
14			Cotton Teal	Nettapus coromandelianus	В	LC	Omnivorous	А
15			Common Quail	Coturnix coturnix	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
16	Galliformes	Phasianidae	Rain Quail	Coturnix coromandelica	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
17			Grey Francolin	Francolinus pondicerianus	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
18			Little Grebe	Tachybaptus ruficollis	В	LC	Carnivorous	А
19		roalcipealaae	Great Crested Grebe	Podiceps cristatus	Μ	LC	Carnivorous	А
20			Rock Pigeon	Columba livia	Я	LC	Granivorous	GA
21	Columbiformes	Columbidae	Eurasian Collared Dove	Streptopelia decaocto	н	LC	Granivorous	GA
22			Laughing Dove	Streptopelia senegalensis	н	LC	Granivorous	GA
23		Caprimulgidae	Indian Nightjar	Caprimulgus asiaticus	Я	LC	Insectivorous	GA
24	Caprimulgiformes		Asian Palm Swift	Cypsiurus balasiensis	н	LC	Insectivorous	GA
25		Apouluae	Indian House Swift	Apus affinis	щ	LC	Insectivorous	GA
26	Cuculiformes	Cuculidae	Greater Coucal	Centropus sinensis	ш	LC	Omnivorous	GA

	Order	Family	English name	Scientific name	Resident Status	IUCN Status	Food Habits	Habitat
27			Pied Cuckoo	Clamator jacobinus	Σ	LC	Omnivorous	GA
28	Cuculiformes	Cuculidae	Asian Koel	Eudynamys scolopaceus	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
29			Common Hawk Cuckoo	Hierococcyx varius	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
30			Slaty-legged Crake	Rallina eurizonoides	В	LC	Omnivorous	NA
31			Ruddy-breasted Crake	Zapornia fusca	В	LC	Omnivorous	NA
32			Brown Crake	Zapornia akool	Σ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
33	Grunormes	Railigae	White-breasted Waterhen	Amaurornis phoenicurus	В	LC	Omnivorous	NA
34			Purple Swamphen	Porphyrio porphyrio	В	LC	Omnivorous	NA
35			Common Coot	Fulica atra	Δ	LC	Omnivorous	A
36			Painted Stork	Mycteria leucocephala	В	NT	Carnivorous	NA
37			Asian Openbill	Anastomus oscitans	В	LC	Carnivorous	NA
38		UICONIIIDAE	Black Stork	Ciconia nigra	Δ	LC	Carnivorous	NA
39			Woolly-necked Stork	Ciconia episcopus	В	LC	Carnivorous	NA
40			Yellow Bittern	Ixobrychus sinensis	В	LC	Carnivorous	AN
41			Cinnamon Bittern	Ixobrychus cinnamomeus	В	LC	Carnivorous	NA
42			Black Bittern	Ixobrychus flavicollis	В	LC	Carnivorous	NA
43			Black-crowned Night Heron	Nycticorax nycticorax	В	LC	Carnivorous	NA
44			Striated Heron	Butorides striata	В	LC	Carnivorous	NA
45	relecanijormes		Indian Pond Heron	Ardeola grayii	В	LC	Carnivorous	NA
46		Aruelade	Cattle Egret	Bubulcus ibis	В	LC	Carnivorous	NA
47			Grey Heron	Ardea cinerea	В	LC	Carnivorous	NA
48			Purple Heron	Ardea purpurea	В	LC	Carnivorous	NA
49			Great Egret	Ardea alba	В	LC	Carnivorous	NA
50			Intermediate Egret	Ardea intermedia	н	LC	Carnivorous	NA
51			Little Egret	Egretta garzetta	ж	LC	Carnivorous	NA
52		Theodionic	Black-headed Ibis	Threskiornis melanocephalus	ж	LC	Carnivorous	NA
53		I III ESKIOL III II II II II AE	Eurasian Spoonbill	Platalea leucorodia	щ	LC	Omnivorous	NA

#48 21 June 2020

	Order	Family	English name	Scientific name	Resident Status	IUCN Status	Food Habits	Habitat
54		Threskiornithidae	Indian Black Ibis	Pseudibis papillosa	В	LC	Carnivorous	NA
55			Little Cormorant	Microcarbo niger	В	ГC	Carnivorous	NA
56	Pelecaniformes	Phalacrocoracidae	Great Cormorant	Phalacrocorax carbo	Я	ГC	Carnivorous	NA
57			Indian Cormorant	Phalacrocorax fuscicollis	œ	ГC	Carnivorous	NA
58		Anhingidae	Oriental Darter	Anhinga melanogaster	ж	NT	Carnivorous	NA
59		Recurvirostridae	Black-winged Stilt	Himantopus himantopus	Я	ГC	Omnivorous	NA
60			Grey Plover	Pluvialis squatarola	Δ	ГC	Insectivorous	NA
61			Pacific Golden Plover	Pluvialis fulva	Μ	ГC	Insectivorous	NA
62			Little Ringed Plover	Charadrius dubius	Μ	ГC	Omnivorous	NA
63		Charadriidae	Kentish Plover	Charadrius alexandrinus	Μ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
64			Lesser Sand Plover	Charadrius mongolus	Δ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
65			Yellow-wattled Lapwing	Vanellus malarbaricus	В	LC	Omnivorous	NA
66			Red-wattled Lapwing	Vanellus indicus	В	LC	Omnivorous	NA
67		Rostratulidae	Greater Painted-snipe	Rostratula benghalensis	В	ГC	Omnivorous	NA
68	_		Pheasant-tailed Jacana	Hydrophasianus chirurgus	В	LC	Omnivorous	NA
69		Jacanidae	Bronze-winged Jacana	Metopidius indicus	В	LC	Omnivorous	NA
70	Onaraumormes		Whimbrel	Numenius phaeopus	Δ	LC	Insectivorous	NA
71			Eurasian Curlew	Numenius arquata	Δ	NT	Omnivorous	NA
72			Bar-tailed Godwit	Limosa lapponica	Μ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
73			Black-tailed Godwit	Limosa limosa	Δ	NT	Omnivorous	NA
74			Ruddy Turnstone	Arenaria interpres	Δ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
75			Ruff	Calidris pugnax	Δ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
76		ocolopacidae	Curlew Sandpiper	Calidris ferruginea	Σ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
77			Temminck's Stint	Calidris temminckii	Σ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
78			Sanderling	Calidris alba	Σ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
79	_		Dunlin	Calidris alpina	ш	LC	Omnivorous	NA
80			Little Stint	Calidris minuta	Σ	LC	Omnivorous	NA

	Order	Family	English name	Scientific name	Resident Status	IUCN Status	Food Habits	Habitat
81			Common Snipe	Gallinago gallinago	Σ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
82			Terek Sandpiper	Xenus cinereus	Δ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
83			Common Sandpiper	Actitis hypoleucos	Δ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
84			Green Sandpiper	Tringa ochropus	Μ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
85		Scolopacidae	Spotted Redshank	Tringa erythropus	Μ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
86			Common Greenshank	Tringa nebularia	Μ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
87			Common Redshank	Tringa totanus	Δ	LC	Omnivorous	AN
88			Wood Sandpiper	Tringa glareola	Μ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
89			Marsh Sandpiper	Tringa stagnatilis	Μ	LC	Omnivorous	NA
90	Charadriiformes	Turnicidae	Barred Buttonquail	Turnix suscitator	В	LC	Omnivorous	NA
91			Indian Courser	Cursorius coromandelicus	В	LC	Insectivorous	NA
92		ulareolidae	Little Pratincole	Glareola lactea	В	LC	Insectivorous	NA
93			Brown-headed Gull	Chroicocephalus brunnicephalus	Σ	ГC	Carnivorous/ Piscivorous	NA
94			Gull-billed Tern	Gelochelidon nilotica	Σ	ГC	Carnivorous/ Piscivorous	NA
95		Laridae	Whiskered Tern	Chlidonias hybrida	×	ГC	Carnivorous/ Piscivorous	NA
96			River Tern	Sterna aurantia	Μ	NT	Carnivorous	NA
97			Indian Skimmer	Rynchops albicollis	Δ	٧U	Carnivorous	NA
98		Pandionidae	Osprey	Pandion haliaetus	Δ	LC	Carnivorous	NA
66			Black-winged Kite	Elanus caeruleus	ж	LC	Carnivorous	GA
100			Indian Spotted Eagle	Clanga hastata	Σ	٧U	Carnivorous	GA
101			Eastern Marsh Harrier	Circus spilonotus	Σ	LC	Carnivorous	GA
102		Accipitridae	Pallid Harrier	Circus macrourus	Σ	NT	Carnivorous	GA
103			Pied Harrier	Circus melanoleucos	Σ	LC	Carnivorous	GA
104			Montagu's Harrier	Circus pygargus	Σ	LC	Carnivorous	GA
105			Shikra	Accipiter badius	Ж	LC	Carnivorous	GA

	Order	Family	English name	Scientific name	Resident Status	IUCN Status	Food Habits	Habitat
106	A coinitriform on		Black Kite	Milvus migrans	Я	LC	Omnivorous	GA
107		Accipitriaae	White-eyed Buzzard	Butastur teesa	Я	LC	Carnivorous	GA
108	5	Tytonidae	Common Barn Owl	Tyto alba	Я	LC	Carnivorous	GA
109	strigitormes	Strigidae	Spotted Owlet	Athene brama	Я	LC	Carnivorous	GA
110		Bucerotidae	Indian Grey Hornbill	Ocyceros birostris	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
111	Bucerotirormes	Upupidae	Common Hoopoe	ndna epops	Я	LC	Insectivorous	GA
112	5	Picidae	Northern Wryneck	Jynx torquilla	Μ	LC	Insectivorous	GA
113	PICITORMES	Ramphastidae	Coppersmith Barbet	Psilopogon haemacephalus	Я	LC	Frugivorous	GA
114		Meropidae	Green Bee-eater	Merops orientalis	Я	LC	Insectivorous	GA
115		Coraciidae	Indian Roller	Coracias benghalensis	Я	LC	Carnivorous	GA
116	Coraciiformes		Common Kingfisher	Alcedo atthis	Я	LC	Carnivorous	NA
117		Alcedinidae	Pied Kingfisher	Ceryle rudis	В	LC	Carnivorous	NA
118			White-throated Kingfisher	Halcyon smyrnensis	Я	LC	Carnivorous	NA
119	Falconiformes	Falconidae	Common Kestrel	Falco tinnunculus	Μ	LC	Carnivorous	GA
120			Alexandrine Parakeet	Psittacula eupatria	В	LC	Frugivorous	GA
121		Psittaculidae	Rose-ringed Parakeet	Psittacula krameri	Я	LC	Frugivorous	GA
122		Campephagidae	Large Cuckooshrike	Coracina javensis	Я	LC	Insectivorous	GA
123		Oriolidae	Eurasian Golden Oriole	Oriolus oriolus	Я	LC	Omnivorous	GA
124		Vangidae	Common Woodshrike	Tephrodornis pondicerianus	щ	LC	Carnivorous	GA
125		Aegithinidae	Common lora	Aegithina tiphia	Я	LC	Insectivorous	GA
126	Psittaciformes	Dicruridae	Black Drongo	Dicrurus macrocercus	Я	LC	Insectivorous	GA
127			Brown Shrike	Lanius cristatus	Δ	LC	Carnivorous	GA
128			Isabelline Shrike	Lanius isabellinus	Σ	LC	Carnivorous	GA
129		Laniidae	Bay-backed Shrike	Lanius vittatus	щ	LC	Carnivorous	GA
130			Long-tailed Shrike	Lanius schach	щ	LC	Carnivorous	GA
131			Great Grey Shrike	Lanius excubitor	щ	LC	Carnivorous	GА
132		Corvidae	House Crow	Corvus splendens	Я	LC	Omnivorous	GA

	Order	Family	English name	Scientific name	Resident Status	IUCN Status	Food Habits	Habitat
133		Corvidae	Large-billed Crow	Corvus macrorhynchos	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
134		Monarchidae	Indian Paradise-flycatcher	Terpsiphone paradisi	В	LC	Insectivorous	GA
135		Nectariniidae	Purple Sunbird	Cinnyris asiaticus	В	LC	Nectarivorous	GA
136		Ploceidae	Baya Weaver	Ploceus philippinus	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
137			Red Munia	Amandava amandava	В	ГC	Omnivorous	GA
138			Indian Silverbill	Euodice malabarica	Я	ГC	Omnivorous	GA
139		Estriloidae	Scaly-breasted Munia	Lonchura punctulata	Я	ГC	Omnivorous	GA
140			Black-headed Munia	Lonchura malacca	В	ГC	Omnivorous	GA
141		Passeridae	House Sparrow	Passer domesticus	В	ГC	Granivorous	GA
142			Richard's Pipit	Anthus richardi	Μ	ГC	Insectivorous	GA
143			Paddyfield Pipit	Anthus rufulus	Μ	LC	Insectivorous	GA
144			Tawny Pipit	Anthus campestris	Μ	LC	Insectivorous	GA
145			Western Yellow Wagtail	Motacilla flava	Δ	LC	Insectivorous	NA
146	Psittaciformes	Motacilidae	Grey Wagtail	Motacilla cinerea	Μ	LC	Insectivorous	NA
147			Citrine Wagtail	Motacilla citreola	Μ	LC	Insectivorous	NA
148			White-browed Wagtail	Motacilla maderaspatensis	В	ГC	Insectivorous	NA
149			White Wagtail	Motacilla alba	Μ	ГC	Insectivorous	NA
150		Fringillidae	Common Rosefinch	Erythrina erythrina	Μ	LC	Granivorous	GA
151			Red-headed Bunting	Granativora bruniceps	Σ	LC	Granivorous	GA
152		Emberizidae	Black-headed Bunting	Granativora melanocephala	Σ	LC	Granivorous	GA
153			Grey-necked Bunting	Emberiza buchanani	Δ	LC	Granivorous	GA
154			Rufous-tailed Lark	Ammomanes phoenicura	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
155			Ashy-crowned Sparrow Lark	Eremopterix griseus	С	LC	Omnivorous	GA
156		Alaudidae	Singing Bushlark	Mirafra cantillans	Ч	LC	Omnivorous	GA
157			Indian Bushlark	Mirafra erythroptera	Ч	LC	Omnivorous	GA
158			Greater Short-toed Lark	Calandrella brachydactyla	Σ	LC	Omnivorous	GA

Zoo's Print

	Order	Family	English name	Scientific name	Resident Status	IUCN Status	Food Habits	Habitat
159		Alaudidae	Eurasian Skylark	Alauda arvensis	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
160			Zitting Cisticola	Cisticola juncidis	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
161			Ashy Prinia	Prinia socialis	В	LC	Insectivorous	GA
162		UISTICOIIDAE	Plain Prinia	Prinia inornata	В	LC	Insectivorous	GA
163			Common Tailorbird	Orthotomus sutorius	В	LC	Insectivorous	GA
164		Locustellidae	Bristled Grass Warbler	Chaetornis striata	Μ	٨U	Insectivorous	GA
165			Booted Warbler	lduna caligata	Μ	LC	Insectivorous	GA
166			Blyth's Reed Warbler	Acrocephalus dumetorum	Μ	LC	Insectivorous	GA
167		Acrocepnaligae	Paddyfield Warbler	Acrocephalus agricola	В	LC	Insectivorous	GA
168			Clamorous Reed Warbler	Acrocephalus stentoreus	Μ	LC	Omnivorous	GA
169			Streak-throated Swallow	Petrochelidon fluvicola	Μ	LC	Insectivorous	GA
170			Red-rumped Swallow	Cecropis daurica	Μ	LC	Insectivorous	GA
171		пігипаппаае	Wire-tailed Swallow	Hirundo smithii	В	LC	Insectivorous	GA
172	Psittaciformes		Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica	Μ	LC	Insectivorous	GA
173		Pycnonotidae	Red-vented Bulbul	Pycnonotus cafer	В	LC	Frugivorous	GA
174		Phylloscopidae	Common Chiffchaff	Phylloscopus collybita	Μ	LC	Insectivorous	GA
175		Sylviidae	Yellow-eyed Babbler	Chrysomma sinense	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
176		Timaliidae	Tawny-bellied Babbler	Dumetia hyperythra	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
177			Large Grey Babbler	Argya malcolmi	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
178		Leioinricniaae	Common Babbler	Argya caudata	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
179			Rosy Starling	Pastor roseus	Σ	LC	Omnivorous	GA
180			Asian Pied Starling	Gracupica contra	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
181		Sturnidae	Brahminy Starling	Sturnia pagodarum	В	LC	Omnivorous	GA
182			Common Myna	Acridotheres tristis	Я	LC	Omnivorous	GA
183			Indian Robin	Saxicoloides fulicatus	В	LC	Insectivorous	GA
184		Muscicapidae	Oriental Magpie Robin	Copsychus saularis	Ж	LC	Insectivorous	GA
185			Asian Brown Flycatcher	Muscicapa dauurica	Σ	LC	Insectivorous	GA

		#48
21	June	2020

	Order	Family	English name	Scientific name	Resident Status	IUCN Status	Food Habits	Habitat
186			Bluethroat	Luscinia svecica	Μ	LC	Insectivorous	GA
187	_		Red-breasted Flycatcher	Ficedula parva	Δ	LC	Insectivorous	GA
188			Black Redstart	Phoenicurus ochruros	Μ	LC	Insectivorous	GA
189	Psittacitormes	Muscicapidae	Siberian Stonechat	Saxicola maurus	Δ	LC	Insectivorous	GA
190	_		Pied Bushchat	Saxicola caprata	В	LC	Insectivorous	GA
191	_		Brown Rock Chat	Oenanthe fusca	В	ГC	Insectivorous	GA

Resident Status: R - Resident, M - Migratory. IUCN Categories: VU - Vulnerable, NT - Near Threatened, LC - Least Concern. Habitat: A - Aquatic, NA - Near Aquatic, GA - Grass and Arborial.



Red Avadavat.



Migratory Birds.



Montagu's Harrier.





Table 2. Description of the study area.

	Particulars	Details	Remarks
1	Location	In Arang Tehsil of Raipur dist., 20 Km east from Raipur (21.26472222º'N & 81.785000º E), 304m amsl, on Kolhan nalah, a subsidiary drainage pattern of river Mahanadi.	
2	Surface area	About 200 Ha, Maximum depth 5m.	Source of water mainly rainfall
3	Weather	Summer temp. 43°-45°C, winter 8°-10°C.	
4	Purpose	Mainly irrigation but also commercial use.	
5	Environment	Open plains in south, cultivation in the east, Human settlement in west and north, Dairy farm, poultry farm and minor industrial, commercial establishments and domestic use.	
6	Attraction for birds	Effluent from dairy farm flows into shallow water area, a favourite particularly for waders, old ruins for owls. Small groove and plantation for arboreal species and open plains for ground and grass species.	Attract variety of avian species

Table 3. The IUCN categories of the species recorded at the study area (IUCN Red data list).

Category	Species recorded
Vulnerable (VU)	4 species Common Pochard Aythya ferina, Indian Skimmer Rynchops albicollis, Indian Spotted Eagle Clanga hastate, Bristled Grass Warbler Chaetornis striata.
Near Threatened (NT)	7 species namely Ferruginous Duck <i>Aythya nyroca</i> , Painted Stork <i>Mycteria leucocephala</i> , Oriental Darter <i>Anhinga melanogaster</i> , Eurasian Curlew <i>Numenius arquata</i> , Black-tailed Godwit <i>Limosa limosa</i> , River Tern <i>Sterna aurantia</i> , Pallid Harrier <i>Circus macrourus</i> .
Least Concern	180 species as in Table 1.

Table 4. List of the passerby species recorded at the study area.

Category	Species
Waders	Grey Plover (Black-bellied Plover) <i>Pluvialis squatarola</i> , Lesser Sand Plover <i>Charadrius mongolus</i> , Ruff <i>Philomachus pugnax</i> , Black-tailed Godwit <i>Limosa limosa</i> , Whimbrel <i>Numenius phaeopus</i> , Eurasian Curlew <i>Numenius arquata</i> , Pacific Golden Plover <i>Pluvialis fulva</i> , Terek Sandpiper <i>Xenus cinereus</i> , Ruddy Turnstone <i>Arenaria interpres</i> , Sanderling <i>Calidris alba</i> , Curlew Sandpiper <i>Erolia ferrugines</i> , Dunlin <i>Ereunetes alpines</i> .
Gulls and Terns	Gull-billed Tern Gelochelidon nilotica, Caspian Gull Hydroprogne caspia, River Tern Sterna aurantia, Whiskered Tern Chlidonias hybrida, Heuglin's Gull Larus fuscus heuglini, Brown-headed Gull Chroicocephalus brunnicaphalus, Black-headed Gull Chroicocephalus ridibundus.

than in the morning hours. The birds would leave their roost at dawn in a more or less similar sequence suggested by Bharos (2018). Our aim was to determine the birds' roost and nests at and around the dam, which revealed the roosting of Greater Shorttoed Lark, Green Bee-eaters, certain Harrier species, variety of waders, kites, starlings, egrets, ducks, etc.

The recorded birds were grouped into Resident (R) and Migratory (M) categories. IUCN Global conservation status was worked out in the following categories only: (VU)

Table 5. The roosting species recorded at the study area.

Category	Species
Wintering ducks and waders, Aquatic	Red-crested Pochard Netta rufina, Common Pochard Aythyaferina, Garganey Spatula querquedula, Northern Pintail Anas acuta, Wood Sandpiper Tringa glareola, Marsh Sandpiper Tringa stagnatilis, Green Sandpiper Tringa ochropus, Common Sandpiper Actitis hypoleucos.
Wintering, Near Aquatic	Pallid harrier <i>Circus macrourus</i> , Marsh Harrier <i>Circus spilonotus</i> , Pied Harrier <i>Circus melanoleucos</i> , Montagau's Harrier <i>Accipiter badius</i> , Greater Short-toed Lark <i>Calandrella brachydactyla</i> .
Arboreal	Green Bee-eater Merops orientalis, Black Kite Milvus migrans.

Table 6. Species recorded nesting in the study area.

Place of nesting	Species
In plains, trees, bushes surrounding the dam	Eurasian Collared Dove <i>Streptopelia decaocto</i> , Laughing Dove <i>Streptopelia</i> <i>senegalensis</i> , Little ringed Plover <i>Charadrius dubius</i> (Bharos et al. 2019) being the first nesting report from Chhattisgarh), Yellow-wattled Lapwing <i>Vanellus malarbaricus</i> , Red-wattled Lapwing <i>Vanellus indicus</i> . The Indian Courser <i>Cursorius coromandelicus</i> has also been recorded nesting in winter from Chhattisgarh (Bharos & Sahu 2002), which was found nesting in January at Kurud Dam, House Crows <i>Corvus splendens</i> , Baya Weaver <i>Ploceus philippinus</i> , Red Avadavat <i>Amandava amandava</i> , Indian Silverbill <i>Euodice malabarica</i> , Scaly-breasted Munia <i>Lonchura punctulata</i> Ashy crowned Sparrow-Lark <i>Eremopterix griseus</i> in April, Ashy Prinia <i>Prinia socialis</i> , Plain Prinia <i>Inornata</i> .
Old ruins	Spotted Owlet Athene brama



Feeding Guild of the Birds.

Vulnuerable (NT) Near Threatened (LC) Least Concerned, according to the IUCN Red Data Book (Birdlife International 2014-2015), Rahmani (2012), Rahmani et al. (2018). The nomenclature of the avifauna was done following Praveen et al. (2016). To determine the feeding guild behavior we keenly and closely observed the birds with the aid of binoculars and camera, documented observed details, identified the probable food resource at and around the feeding / foraging site of respective

Guild Type	Description	Remarks
Carnivorous	The birds of this category mostly feed on fishes, reptiles, frog, lizards, small rodents (Patten 1906; Ali & Ripley 1987). The birds included in this category were also found/observed feeding on mollusc, fishes, crabs, rodents, amphibians, reptiles etc. were considered in this category.	Asian Openbill <i>Anastomus oscitans</i> was observed feeding on <i>Pila globosa</i> and <i>Lamellidens</i> <i>marginalis</i> . We observed certain Raptors <i>viz</i> . Osprey feeding on fishes, Marsh Harrier preying on smaller aquatic birds, and Common Kestrel was found predating over frogs & lizards, and considered them in this category.
Frugivorous	Birds that prominently feed on fruits were considered in this category.	Which includes Yellow-footed Green Pigeon, Rose-ringed Parakeets etc. recorded at Kurud Dam. Their prime feeding selection was <i>Ficus</i> species.
Granivorous	Birds that primarily feed on grains, were considered in this category.	This includes Scaly-breasted Munia, Indian Silverbill, Red Avadavat, House Sparrows, Baya Weave were also recorded at Kurud Dam area.
Insectivorous	Birds found feeding on insects, larvae of insects, weevils, minor crabs, mollusc, etc.	Birds like Green Bee-eaters were found/observed feeding on Odonata. Flycatchers, Larks, Pipits, were observed feeding on Diptera, Hemiptera, Stoneflies, Odonata at Kurud Dam area.
Nectarivorous	Birds that fed on nectar of the flower.	Sunbirds were most commonly found feeding on the nectar of the flower.
Omnivorous	We handled the omnivorous guild behavior in a broad range the birds (including ducks) that feed on Aquatic plants / parts of plants; inclusively (some of them) also feed on shrimps, mollusc, certain aquatic insects and other aquatic minor animals.	Ducks and Geese were seen diving, dabbling, and foraging on these food resources.
Piscivorous	Birds that primarily feed on fishes.	Cormorants, Skimmer, Kingfishers, etc.

Table 7. The feeding guild categorization of the birds according to the foraging behaviour.

individual species, these sample specimens were compared and identified with standard literatures—Patten (1906), Mukherjee (1969-71) Ali & Ripley (1987), Perrow (1997), and Jha (2013).

Observations

This study recorded a total of191 bird species belonging to 16 orders and 61 families (Table 1). Out of these 191 species, 111 species were Resident (R), 80 species were Migratory (M) observed mostly in winter. The total 191 species were also categorized according to their habit into—Aquatic (A) 17 species, Near aquatic (NA) 76 Species, and

Grassland & Arboreal (GA) 98 species. Here is a breakup of the species recorded from each of the 61 families from this study: Anatidae 14 (7.33%), Phasianidae 3 (1.57%), Podicipedidae 2 (1.05%), Columbidae 3 (1.57%), Caprimulgidae 1 (0.52%), Apodidae 2 (1.05%), Cuculidae 4 (2.09%), Rallidae 6 (3.14%), Ciconiidae 4 (2.09%), Ardeidae 12 (6.28%), Threskiornithidae 3 (1.57%), Phalacrocoracidae 3 (1.57%), Anhingidae 1 (0.52%), Recurvirostridae 1 (0.52%), Charadriidae 7 (3.66%), Rostratulidae 1 (0.52%), Jacanidae 3 (1.57%), Scolopacidae 19 (9.95%), Turnicidae 1 (0.52%), Glareolidae 2 (1.05%), Laridae 5 (2.62%), Pandionidae 1 (0.52%), Accipitridae 9 (4.71%), Tytonidae 1

Table 8. Available food and habitat resources of the birds at studyarea.

Aquatic Plants
Aponogeton natans
Hydrilla verticellata
Marselia minuta
Najas marina
Nymphaea rubra
Vallisneria spiralis
Shrubs / stands ^
Ipomoea carnea
Prosporis juliflora
Typha latifolia
Trees *
Aegele marmelos
Azadirachta indica
Bombax ceiba
Ficus benghalensis
Ficus religiosa
Mangifera indica
Phoenix sylvestris
Psidium gujava
Tamarindus indicus
Vachellia nilotica
Zizhyphus mauritiana
Grasses ^^
Cynodon dactylon
Cyperus iria
Digitaria sanguinalis
Cultivation ^{^^}
Maize
Paddy
Reptiles
Chequered Keelback
Common Skink
Garden Lizard
House Gecko
Mollusc
Pila globosa
Lamellidens marginalis
Bellamaya bengalensis

 Served as hide-out nesting and roosting sites for few birds.
 These trees served for perching, roosting, nesting, and also as food resource.
 Served as good abode for grass birds.

(0.52%), Strigidae 1 (0.52%), Bucerotidae 1 (0.52%), Upupidae 1 (0.52%), Picidae 1 (0.52%), Ramphastidae 1 (0.52%), Meropidae 1 (0.52%), Coraciidae 1 (0.52%) Alcedinidae 3 (1.57%), Falconidae 1 (0.52%), Psittaculidae 2 (1.05%), Campephagidae 1 (0.52%), Oriolidae 1 (0.52%), Vangidae 1 (0.52%), Aegithinidae 1 (0.52%), Dicruridae 1 (0.52%), Laniidae 5 (2.62%), Corvidae 2 (1.05%), Monarchidae 1 (0.52%), Nectariniidae 1 (0.52%), Ploceidae 1 (0.52%), Estrildidae 4 (2.09%), Passeridae

1(0.52%), Motacillidae 8 (4.19%), Fringillidae 1 (0.52%), Emberizidae 3 (1.57%), Alaudidae 6 (3.14%), Cisticolidae 4 (2.09%), Locustellidae 1 (0.52%), Acrocephalidae 4 (2.09%), Hirundinidae 4 (2.09%), Pycnonotidae 1 (0.52%), Phylloscopidae 1 (0.52%), Sylviidae 1 (0.52%), Timaliidae 1 (0.52%), Leiothrichidae 2 (1.05%), Sturnidae 4 (2.09%), Muscicapidae 9 (4.71%). Out of the 61 families following 10 families found dominant were, Scolopacidae 19 species (9.95%), Anatidae 14 (7.33%), Ardeidae 12 (6.28%), Accipitridae 9 (4.71%), Muscicapidae 9 (4.71%), Motacillidae 8 (4.19%), Rallidae 6 (3.14%), Alaudidae 6 (3.14%), Laridae 5 (2.62%), Laniidae 5 (2.62%).

Threatened Species: Out of

the 191 species 11 Species were found under different threatened categories of IUCN Red List, (Table 3) Rahmani (2012), Rahmani et al. (2018).

Passerby species

Some of the passerby species observed at arrival



Wader Congregation.



Migratory Tufted Ducks.



Aquatic vegetation.

during September–November and departure in April and May were as per Table 4.

Roosting

Some of the roosting species at and around the dam as found are mentioned in Table 5.

Nesting

The species found nesting were as per Table 6.

Food base

Working with the food resource available at and around Kurud Dam utilized by 191 species, were categorized according to their feeding guild as follows:

48 carnivorous species, four frugivorous species, eight granivorous species, 44 insectivorous species, one nectarivorous species, 83 omnivorous species, 03 carnivorous / pisivorous species were recorded, following Patten (1906), Mukherji (1969–71) Subramanyam (1962), Ali & Ripley (1987), Perrow (1997), Jha (2013), Bux and Acharya (2017).

The feeding guild according to foraging behavior found: We did see the feeding bird(s) but did not examine their gut, but presumably on later examination of their feeding grounds we concluded the guild behavior (Table 7), and the available food and habitat resource (Table 8).

Result and Discussion

The study site was visited since 2007 but more intensively from 2015 to 2019. The observed 191 bird species belonging to 16

order and 61 families were categorized into resident and migratory; and according to their habit aquatic, near aquatic, grassland & arboreal; and according to the feeding guild behavior into carnivorous, insectivorous, nectarivorous, omnivorous, piscivorous, granivorous, and frugivorous. Eleven species fall within the categories of threatened species of IUCN Red list viz. vulnerable four species, and seven near threatened. Our study documents the size and structure of the Kurud Dam avian community, which affords ample food resource. The studies on passerby, roosting, and nesting species were also undertaken to ascertain a better picture of the wetland's status and the birds it carries.

Through this study we have tried to fill the gap and expect that it will serve as the baseline data for Kurud Dam. Being a prime and potential wetland of central Chhattisgarh, this study shall also support ornithological studies and conservation issues in the near future.

References

Ali, S. & S.D. Ripley (1987). Compact Handbook of the Birds of India and Pakistan together with those of Bangladesh, Nepal, Bhutan and Sri Lanka, Oxford University Press. Oxford, UK, 737pp.

Bharos, A.M.K. (2017). Birds of Chhattisgarh, 154–156 In: Sahgal, B. & L. Raman (eds.) *Sanctuary Asia's Wild Chhattisgarh*, ISBN: 81-906953-7-4, Sanctuary Asia, Mumbai, 168pp.

Bharos, A.M.K. (2018). Typical Study on sequence of appearance of Birds, *Newsletter for Birdwatchers* 58(2): 6–8.

Bharos, A.M.K. & M. Sahu (2002). Breeding by the Indian Courser in winter in Raipur District. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society* 99(2): 299.

Bharos, A.M.K., F. Bux, R. Singh & A. Bharos (2019). First Nesting Record of Little Ringed Plover (Charadrius dubius) From Chhattisgarh, *Cheetal*, 56(1): 52–58, (ISSN: 0528-9122)

Bibby, C.J., N.D. Burgess & A. Hill (1992). *Bird Census Techniques*, Academic Press, U.K. Pp-257.

Bux, F. & V. Acharya (2017). Aquatic Macrophytes of Khandwa Pond and Sendh Pond, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh, *Indian Journal of Applied & Pure Biology*, 32(2): 245–254.

Chandra, K. & R.K. Singh (2004). Avifauna of Madhya Pradesh and Chhattisgarh *Zoo's Print Journal* 19(7): 1534–1539.

Grimmett, R., C. Inskipp & T. Inskipp (1998). *Birds of the India Sub Continent*, Oxford University Press, Pp-466.

Grimmett, R., C. Inskipp & T. Inskipp (2014). *Birds of the Indian Subcontinent*, Oxford University Press. Pp-528

IUCN Red Data List https://www.iucnredlist.org, Last accessed on 08 February 2020.

Javed, S. & R. Kaul (2002). *Field Methods for Bird Services*, Indian Bird Conservation Network, Bombay Natural History Society. 64.

Jha, K.K. (2013). Aquatic Food Plants and their Consumer Birds at Sandi Bird, *Asian Journal of Conservation Biology*, 2(1): 30–43.

Mukherjee, A., C.K. Borad & B.M. Parasharya (2002). A study of the ecological requirements of waterfowl at man-made reservoirs in Kheda District, Gujarat, India with a view towards conservation, management and planning. *Zoos' Print Journal* 17(5): 775–785.

Mukherjee, A.K. (1969). Food-habits of waterbirds of the Sundarban, 24-Parganas District, West Bengal, India, *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society*, 66(2): 345–360.

Mukherjee, A.K. (1971a). Food-habits of waterbirds of the Sundarban, 24-Parganas District, West Bengal, India, *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society* 68(1): 37–64

Mukherjee, A.K. (1971b). Food-habits of waterbirds of the Sundarban, 24-Parganas District, West Bengal, India, *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society* 68(3): 691–716

Mukherjee, A.K. (1974). Food-habits of waterbirds of the Sundarban, 24-Parganas District, West Bengal, India, *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society* 71(2): 188–200

Mukherjee, A.K. (1975). Food-habits of waterbirds of the Sundarban, 24-Parganas District, West Bengal, India, *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society* 72(2): 422–447

Mukherjee, A.K. (1976). Food-habits of waterbirds of the Sundarban, 24-Parganas District, West Bengal, India, *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society* 73(3): 482–486.

Patten, C.J. (1906). The Aquatic Birds of Great Britain and Ireland, R.H. Porter, London, 590pp.

Perrow, M.R., J.H. Schutten, J.R. Howes, T. Holzer, F.J. Madgwick & A.J.D. Jowitt (1997). Interactions between Coot (*Fulica atra*) and submerged macrophytes: The role of birds in the restoration process, Shallow Lakes '95, *Developments in Hydrobiology* 119: 241–255.

Praveen, J., R. Jayapal & A. Pittie (2016). A Checklist of the Birds of India. *Indian Birds* 11(5&6):

Rahmani, A.R. (2008). *Ducks, Geese and Swans of India Their Status and Distribution*. IBCN: Bombay Natural History Society. Mumbai, India, 364pp.

Rahmani, A.R. (2012). Threatened Birds of India - Their Conservation Requirements Indian Bird Conservation Network: Bombay Natural History Society, Royal society for the Protection of Birds and Birdlife International. Oxford University Press, xvi+864pp.

Rahmani A.R., A.M.K. Bharos, A. Manadvia, A. Vishwakarma & A. Bharos (2018). *Threatened Birds of Chhattisgarh*. Chhattisgarh Wildlife Society, Raipur, 156pp. Rasmussen, P.C, & J.C. Anderton (2012).

Birds of South Asia. The Ripley Guide. Vol. 1 & 2. Second Edition. National Museum of Natural History - Smiths. Inst., Michigan State Univ. and Lynx Edicions, Washington D.C., Michigan and Barcelona, 684pp.

Subramanyam, K. (1962). Aquatic angiosperms: A systematic account of common Indian aquatic angiosperms. CSIR Publications, New Delhi, 190pp.

Acknowledgements: We are thankful to the members of the Chhattisgarh Wildlife Society, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, Ameet Mandavia, Ravi Naidu, Ajeet Bharos for sharing their observations and field assistance.

A.M.K Bharos¹, Faiz Bux², Akhilesh Bharos³ & Jageshwar Verma⁴

¹ State Coordinator IBCN Chhattisgarh, B-101, Gayatrinagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh 492007, India.
² Research Scholar, Dept. of Botany, Govt. D.B.G.P.G. College, Raipur, Chhattisgarh 492001, India.
³ Naturalist, Singhinawa Resort, Kanha National Park, Dist. Balaghat, Madhya Pradesh 481111, India.
⁴ LIG-1219, Sector -8, Housing Board Colony, Village -Saddu, Raipur, Chhattisgarh 492014, India.
Emails: ¹arunmkbharos@gmail.com (corresponding author), ²faizbux@gmail.com, ³wildcentralindia@gmail. com, ⁴vermajageshwar12@gmail.com

Citation: Bharos, A.M.K., F. Bux, A. Bharos & J. Verma (2020). Status and composition of avifauna in Kurud Dam, Raipur District - Chhattisgarh, India. Bird-o-soar #48, In: *Zoo's Print* 35(6): 05–21.

113-170.

Birds at KFRI Campus, Thrissur, Kerala, India

Birds are fascinating creatures of nature and perform a variety of ecological roles like pollination, scavenging, pest control, and seed dispersal. Avifauna is one among the most studied groups of vertebrates and also is considered as an indicator species of ecosystem (Blair 1999). The Indian subcontinent has 1,340 bird species (Praveen et al. 2017) of which 1,263 bird species are in India (Praveen et al. 2016) and 500 bird species in Kerala (Praveen 2015). The campus of Kerala Forest Research Institute (KFRI) is located in central Kerala at Peechi (10.530°N & 76.346°E), about 20km east of Thrissur City, adjacent to Peechi-Vazhani Wildlife Sanctuary with average elevation of about 100m. KFRI campus lies within an area of 28ha reserve forest with a butterfly garden, medicinal garden, arboretum, palmetum, and is rich in avifaunal diversity. Studies conducted in Thrissur District by Jayson & Sivaperuman (2005) recorded 313 taxa of birds of which 103 taxa were recorded from the Peechi area (Santharam 2005) and 94 taxa from KFRI campus (Jayson et al. 2000). In this paper we document the birds recorded from June 2016 to September 2018. We observed birds alone in a team using Bushnell binocular (10 x 50) and spotting scope (10 x 45x) and birds were identified using calls and physical features with the help of standard field guides and reference books (Ali & Ripley 1987; Grimmet et al. 2011; Sashikumar et al. 2001;

Induchoodan 2017). Observations were made from 06.00–10.00 h and 16.00–17.00 h, twice every month.

A total of 78 species of birds belonghing to 14 orders and 33 families are reported from Kerala Forest Research Institute campus (Table 1). In this study order Passeriformes was dominant with 18 families followed by Coraciiformes and Piciformes with two families and rest of the 11 orders with single family in each. Of all the families, Muscicapidae and Accipitridae dominated the list with five species each. Birds were classified into Common (sighted during the entire field visit), Uncommon (sighted in specific habitat on a few visits), and Occasional (sighted in suitable habitat once or twice).

As per IUCN (2018), 77 taxa of birds recorded from the campus were found to be under the Least Concern category and one taxa was under Not Evaluated category. KFRI campus is a breeding-cum-roosting area for many species, breeding of Crested Hawkeagle *Nisaetus cirrhatus* in the campus was a remarkable observation. Praveen (2015) reported 500 species in 88 families and 22 orders from Kerala. Thus KFRI campus accounts for 15.6% species, 37.5% families, and 63.64% orders of birds of Kerala. Ten species fall under the Schedule I, one species in Schedule II, 63 species

Table 1. Checklist of birds at KFRI Campus, Peechi, Thrissur.

	Common name	Scientific name	Jayson et al. (2000)	Present study	Status
	Phasianidae				
1	Red Spurfowl	Galloperdix spadicea Gmelin, JF, 1789	Р	Р	С
2	Grey Junglefowl	Gallus sonneratii Temminck, 1813	Р	Р	U
3	Indian Peafowl	Pavo cristatus Linnaeus, 1758	Р	Р	С
4	Grey Francolin	Gallus sonneratii Gmelin, JF, 1789	Р	A	
5	Jungle Bush Quail	Perdicula asiatica Latham, 1790	Р	A	
	Columbidae				0
6	Blue Rock Pigeon	Columba livia Gmelin, JF, 1789	Р	Р	С
7	Spotted Dove	Streptopelia chinensis Scopoli, 1786	Р	Р	С
8	Emerald Dove	Chalcophap sindica Linnaeus, 1758	Р	Р	U
9	Yellow-footed Green Pigeon	Treron phoenicopterus Latham, 1790	Р	A	
10	Eurasian Collared Dove	Streptopelia decaocto Frivaldszky, 1838	Р	A	
	Caprimulgidae				
11	Grey Nightjar (Jungle Nightjar)	Caprimulgus indicus Latham, 1790	Р	A	
12	Indian Nightjar	Caprimulgus asiaticus Latham, 1790	Р	A	
	Apodidae				
13	Indian House Swift (Little Swift)	Apus affinis Gray, 1830	Р	Р	U
14	Indian Swiftlet	Aerodramus unicolor Jerdon, 1840	A	Р	U
15	Asian Palm Swift	Cypsiurus balasiensis Gray, JE, 1829	Р	Р	U
16	Alpine Swift	Tachymarptis melba Linnaeus, 1758	Р	A	
	Cuculidae				
17	Greater Coucal	Centropus sinensis Stephens, 1815	Р	Р	С
18	Asian Koel	<i>Eudynamys scolopaceus</i> Linnaeus, 1758	Р	Р	С
19	Common Hawk Cuckoo	Hierococcyx varius Vahl, 1797	Р	Р	U
20	Indian Cuckoo	Cuculus micropterus Gould, 1838	Р	Р	0
21	Pied Cuckoo	Clamator jacobinus Boddaert, 1783	Р	A	
22	Banded Bay Cuckoo	Cacomantis sonneratii Latham, 1790	Р	А	
	Rallidae				
23	White-breasted Waterhen	Amaurornis phoenicurus Pennant, 1769	Р	Р	С
	Ardeidae				
24	Indian Pond Heron	Ardeola grayii Sykes, 1832	А	Р	С
	Charadriidae				
25	Red-wattled Lapwing	Vanellus indicus Boddaert, 1783	Р	Р	С
	Accipitridae	·			
26	Oriental Honey Buzzard (Crested Honey Buzzard)	Pernis ptilorhynchus Temminck, 1821	A	Р	0

	Common name	Scientific name	Jayson et al. (2000)	Present study	Status
	Accipitridae				
27	Changeable Hawk Eagle (Crested Hawk Eagle)	Nisaetus cirrhatus Gmelin, 1788	A	Р	С
28	Shikra	Accipiter badius Gmelin, 1789	Р	Р	U
29	Brahminy Kite	Haliastur indus Boddaert, 1783	Р	Р	С
30	Crested Serpent Eagle	Spilornis cheela Latham, 1790	Р	Р	U
31	Black Kite	Milvus migrans Boddaert, 1783	Р	A	
32	Eurasian Sparrowhawk	Accipiter nisus Linnaeus, 1758	Р	A	
	Tytonidae				
33	Common Barn Owl	<i>Tyto alba</i> Scopoli, 1769	Р	A	
	Strigidae				
34	Jungle Owlet	Glaucidium radiatum Tickell, 1833	А	Р	С
35	Mottled Wood Owl	Strix ocellata Lesson, 1839	А	Р	С
36	Brown Fish Owl	Ketupa zeylonensis Gmelin, 1788	А	Р	0
37	Spotted Owlet	Athene brama Temminck, 1821	Р	A	
	Bucerotidae				
38	Indian Grey Hornbill	Ocyceros birostris Scopoli, 1786	Р	Р	U
39	Malabar Grey Hornbill	Ocyceros griseus Latham, 1790	А	Р	U
	Upupidae	^	<u>6</u>		a
40	Common Hoopoe (Eurasian Hoopoe)	<i>Upupa epops</i> Linnaeus, 1758	Р	A	
	Picidae				
41	Heart-spotted Woodpecker	Hemicircus canente Lesson, 1832	Р	Р	U
42	Common Golden-backed Woodpecker (Common Flameback)	<i>Dinopium javanense</i> Ljungh, 1797	A	Ρ	С
43	Lesser Golden-backed Woodpecker (Black-rumped Flameback)	Dinopium benghalense Linnaeus, 1758	Ρ	Ρ	С
44	Rufous Woodpecker	Micropternus brachyurus Vieillot, 1818	Р	A	
45	Yellow-crowned Woodpecker	Dendrocopos mahrattensis Latham, 1801	Р	A	
46	Brown-capped Pygmy Woodpecker	Dendrocopos moluccensis Vigors, 1832	Р	A	
	Ramphastidae				
47	White-cheeked Barbet	Psilopogon viridis Boddaert, 1783	Р	Р	С
48	Coppersmith Barbet	Psilopogon haemacephalus Müller, 1776	Р	Р	С
	Meropidae				
49	Blue-tailed Bee-eater	Merops philippinus Linnaeus, 1767	Р	Р	С
50	Green Bee-eater	Merops orientalis Latham, 1801	Р	A	
51	Chestnut-headed Bee-eater	Merops leschenaulti Vieillot, 1817	Р	A	

	Common name	Scientific name	Jayson et al. (2000)	Present study	Status
	Coraciidae				
52	Indian Roller	Coracias benghalensis Linnaeus, 1758	Р	A	
	Alcedinidae				
53	Common Kingfisher	Alcedo atthis Linnaeus, 1758	Р	Р	С
54	Stork-billed Kingfisher	Pelargopsis capensis Linnaeus, 1766	Р	Р	С
55	White-throated Kingfisher	Halcyon smyrnensis Linnaeus, 1758	Р	Р	С
56	Pied Kingfisher	Ceryle rudis Linnaeus, 1758	Р	A	
	Psittaculidae				
57	Plum-headed Parakeet	Psittacula cyanocephala Linnaeus, 1766	Р	Р	С
58	Rose-ringed Parakeet	Psittacula krameria Scopoli, 1769	Р	Р	С
59	Vernal Hanging Parrot	Loriculus vernalis Sparrman, 1787	Р	Р	U
60	Malabar Parakeet	Psittacula columboides Vigors, 1830	Р	A	
	Pittidae				
61	Indian Pitta	Pitta brachyura Linnaeus, 1766	Р	Р	0
	Campephagidae				
62	Scarlet Minivet (Orange Minivet)	<i>Pericrocotus flammeus</i> Forster, JR, 1781	Р	Р	U
	Oriolidae			•	
63	Black-hooded Oriole	Oriolus xanthornus Linnaeus, 1758	Р	Р	С
64	Indian Golden Oriole	Oriolus kundoo Sykes, 1832	Р	Р	U
65	Black-naped Oriole	Oriolus chinensis Linnaeus, 1766	А	Р	С
	Artamidae				
66	Ashy Woodswallow	Artamus fuscus Vieillot, 1817	Р	A	
	Vangidae				
67	Bar-winged Flycatcher-shrike	Hemipus picatus Sykes, 1832	А	Р	0
68	Large Woodshrike (Malabar Woodshrike)	Tephrodornis virgatus Raffles, 1822	А	Р	0
	Aegithinidae				
69	Common Iora	Aegithina tiphia Linnaeus, 1758	Р	Р	С
	Dicruridae				
70	Black Drongo	Dicrurus macrocercus Vieillot, 1817	Р	Р	U
71	Bronzed Drongo	Dicrurus aeneus Vieillot, 1817	А	Р	С
72	Greater Racket-tailed Drongo	Dicrurus paradiseus Linnaeus, 1766	А	Р	С
73	Ashy Drongo	Dicrurus leucophaeus Vieillot, 1817	Р	A	
74	White-bellied Drongo	Dicrurus caerulescens Linnaeus, 1758	Р	A	
	Corvidae				
75	RufousTreepie	Dendrocitta vagabunda Latham, 1790	Р	Р	С
76	House Crow	Corvus splendens Vieillot, 1817	Р	Р	С
77	Large-billed Crow	Corvus macrorhynchos Wagler, 1827	Р	Р	С

#49 21 June 2020

Bird-o-soai	r
-------------	---

	Common name	Scientific name	Jayson et al. (2000)	Present study	Status
	Monarchidae				
78	Indian Paradise-flycatcher	Terpsiphone paradise Linnaeus, 1758	Р	Р	U
	Dicaeidae				
79	Thick-billed Flowerpecker	Dicaeum agile Tickell, 1833	Р	A	
80	Pale-billed Flowerpecker	Dicaeum erythrorhynchos Latham, 1790	Р	A	
	Nectariniidae				
81	Purple-rumped Sunbird	Leptocoma zeylonica Linnaeus, 1766	Р	Р	С
82	Crimson-backed Sunbird	Leptocoma minima Sykes, 1832	А	Р	U
83	Purple Sunbird	Cinnyris asiaticus Latham, 1790	Р	Р	С
84	Loten's Sunbird (Long-billed Sunbird)	Cinnyris lotenius Linnaeus, 1766	Р	Р	С
	Irenidae				
85	Asian Fairy-bluebird	Irena puella Latham, 1790	А	Р	0
86	Golden-fronted Leafbird	Chloropsis aurifrons Temminck, 1829	А	Р	С
87	Jerdon's Leafbird	Chloropsis jerdoni Blyth, 1844	Р	Р	U
	Ploceidae				
88	Streaked Weaver	Ploceus manyar Horsfield, 1821	Р	A	
89	Baya Weaver	Ploceus philippinus Linnaeus, 1766	Р	A	
	Estrildidae				
90	White-rumped Munia	Lonchura striata (Linnaeus, 1766)	А	Р	U
	Passeridae				
91	Yellow-throated Sparrow (Chestnutshouldered Petronia)	<i>Gymnoris xanthocollis</i> Burton, 1838	Р	A	
	Motacillidae	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	
92	Grey Wagtail	Motacilla cinerea Tunstall, 1771	Р	A	
93	White-browed Wagtail	<i>Motacilla maderaspatensis</i> Gmelin, JF, 1789	Р	A	
94	Western Yellow Wagtail	Motacilla flava Linnaeus, 1758	Р	A	
	Paridae				
95	Cinereous Tit (Indian Great Tit)	Parus cinereus Vieillot, 1818	Р	A	
	Alaudidae				
96	Rufous-tailed Lark	Ammomanes phoenicura Franklin, 1831	Р	A	
	Cisticolidae				
97	Common Tailorbird	Orthoto mussutorius Pennant, 1769	Р	Р	С
	Acrocephalidae				
98	Blyth's Reed Warbler	Acrocephalus dumetorum Blyth, 1849	Р	Р	С
99	Clamorous Reed Warbler	Acrocephalus stentoreus Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1833	Р	Р	С
	Hirundinidae				
100	Red-rumped Swallow	Cecropis daurica Laxmann, 1769	Р	A	
101	Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica Linnaeus, 1758	Р	A	

#49 21 June 2020

Bird-o-soar

	Common name	Scientific name	Jayson et al. (2000)	Present study	Status				
	Pycnonotidae								
102	Red-whiskered Bulbul	Pycnonotus jocosus Linnaeus, 1758	Р	Р	С				
103	Red-vented Bulbul	Pycnonotus cafer Linnaeus, 1766	Р	Р	С				
	Zosteropidae								
104	Oriental White-eye	Zosterops palpebrosus Temminck, 1824	Р	А					
	Leiothrichidae								
105	Jungle Babbler	Turdoides striata Dumont, 1823	Р	Р	С				
106	Yellow-billed Babbler	Turdoides affinis Jerdon, 1845	Р	Р	С				
	Sittidae								
107	Velvet-fronted Nuthatch	Sitta frontalis Swainson, 1820	Р	A					
	Sturnidae								
108	Common Myna	Acridotheres tristis Linnaeus, 1766	Р	Р	С				
109	Jungle Myna	Acridotheres fuscus Wagler, 1827	Р	Р	С				
110	Hill Myna	Gracula religiosa Linnaeus, 1758	А	Р	U				
	Muscicapidae								
111	Oriental Magpie Robin	Copsychus saularis Linnaeus, 1758	Р	Р	С				
112	Asian Brown Flycatcher	Muscica padauurica Raffles, 1822	А	Р	С				
113	Brown-breasted Flycatcher	Muscica pamuttui Layard, EL, 1854	А	Р	U				
114	Tickell's Blue Flycatcher	Cyornis tickelliae Blyth, 1843	А	Р	U				
115	Malabar Whistling Thrush	Myophonus horsfieldii Vigors, 1831 A		Р	С				
116	Indian Robin	Saxicoloides fulicatus Linnaeus, 1766	Р	P A					
117	Pied Bushchat	Saxicola caprata Linnaeus, 1766 P		А					
	Turdidae								
118	Orange-headed Thrush	Geokichla citrina Latham, 1790 A		Р	С				
119	Indian Blackbird	Turdus simillimus Jerdon, 1839	А	Р	0				

under Schedule IV, and one species under the Schedule V category of the Wildlife (Protection) Act; 10 species fall under the Appendix II of CITES. Two endemic species Malabar Grey Hornbill *Ocyceros griseus* and Crimson-backed Sunbird *Leptocoma minima* to Western Ghats was also recorded from the campus. Earlier studies conducted in KFRI campus (Jayson et al. 2000) recorded 94 taxa of birds belonging to 13 orders and 35 families. While comparing with the past data, 41 taxa of birds reported earlier were not found during the present study and 23 taxa of birds are newly reported. This may be due to the habitat fragmentation between the Peechi-Vazhani Wildlife Sanctuary and the KFRI campus due to urbanization. Nearness to the Peechi-Vazhani Wildlife Sanctuary had a great influence in the avifaunal diversity of the campus. Also, the floristic diversity of the campus itself has changed slightly due to the small scale construction activities as



KFRI Campus at Peechi, Thrissur, Kerala.

well as the establishment of peculiar plots for conservation like arboretum, palmetum, butterfly garden, forest nursery, among other things. Even though KFRI campus is a small area and located amid human habitations, the high species diversity in the campus could be due to the presence of different type of habitats.

References

Ali, S. & S.D. Ripley (1987). Compact Handbook of the Birds of India and Pakistan together with those of Bangladesh, Nepal, Bhutan and Sri Lanka. Oxford University Press, Delhi, India, 737p.

Blair, R.B. (1999). Birds and Butterflies; surrogate taxa for assessing biodiversity? *Ecological Application* 9: 164-70.

Grimmet, R., C. Inskipp & T. Inskipp (2011). *Birds of the Indian Subcontinent*. Oxford University Press, India, 528pp.

Induchoodan, K.K. (2017). Keralathile Pakshikal. Kerala Sahithya Akademi, Thrissur, Kerala, 578pp.

Jayson, E.A. & C. Sivaperuman (2005). Avifauna of Thrissur District, Kerala, India. *Zoos' Print Journal* 20(2): 1774–1783. https://doi.org/10.11609/JoTT. ZPJ.1231.1774-83

Jayson, E.A., K.K. Ramachandran & C. Sivaperuman (2000). Avifauna of KFRI campus. *Evergreen* 44: 19–20.

Praveen, J. (2015). A checklist of birds of Kerala, India. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 7(13): 7983–8009. https://doi. org/10.11609/jott.2001.7.13.7983-8009

Praveen, J., R. Jayapal & A. Pittie (2016). A checklist of the birds of India. *Indian BIRDS* 11(5&6): 113–172.

Praveen, J., R. Jayapal, T. Inskipp, D. Warakagoda, P.M. Thompson, R.C. Anderson & A. Pittie (2017). Birds of the Indian subcontinent: Species not recorded from India. *Indian BIRDS* 13(4): 93–101.

Santharam, V. (2005). Birds seen on a short trip to Peechi, Kerala, India. *Indian Birds* 1(2): 32–33.

Sashikumar, C., J. Praveen, M.J. Palot & P.O. Nameer (2011). Birds of Kerala: status and distribution. 1st Ed. DC Books, Kottayam, Kerala, 835pp.

P. Greeshma¹, Riju P. Nair², E.A. Jayson³ & P.M. Nishad⁴

¹⁻³ Wildlife Department, Kerala Forest Research Institute, Peechi, Thrissur, Kerala 680653, India.
⁴ Mar Athanasios College for Advanced Studies, Thiruvalla, Pathanamthitta, Kerala 689101, India.
Emails: ¹greeshmap@kfri.res.in (corresponding author), ²rijupnair2009@gmail.com, ³jjayson.58@gmail.com,
⁴nishadpalakkathodica@gmail.com

Citation: Greeshma, P., R.P. Nair, E.A. Jayson & P.M. Nishad (2020). Birds at KFRI Campus, Thrissur, Kerala, India. Bird-o-soar #49, In: *Zoo's Print* 35(6): 22–28.

Foraging behaviours of Baya Weaver *Ploceus philippinus*, during non-breeding seasons in northern Tamil Nadu, India

Baya Weaver Ploceus philippinus (Linnaeus 1766) (Aves: Passeriformes: Ploceidae) is distributed throughout India and most of Southeast Asia (Ali et al. 1957). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species classifies Ploceus philippinus under organisms of 'Least Concern' (Birdlife International 2016). They are social, gregarious, polygamous, colonial nesters with an architectural genius for building intricate and pendant nests. In India, the breeding season is from May to November (Ali & Ripley 1987; Rasmussen & Anderton 2005). In general, P. philippinus select a variety of trees for nesting, but prefer tall, unbranched trunks and longswaying foliage of palm trees that keeps away predators and provide convenient leaf strips for building nests (Davis 1974). During breeding season, the males moult into a yellow and brown nuptial plumage, but females remain pale brown. During nonbreeding seasons both male and female are pale brown in colour (Ali & Ripley 1987). They are granivorous (Avery 1979) forming communal roosts on crops and hence considered agricultural pests (Gadgil & Ali 1975; Hamid Ali et al. 1976). Studies in various parts of the country have shown that they cause immense damage to paddy and other crops (Dhindsa & Toor 1980; Shyama 1997). All the above studies have come from states other than Tamil Nadu. Hence, I decided to take up a study on foraging behaviours of Baya Weaver during their nonbreeding season from five villages; two from Arakonam Taluk and three from Nemeli Taluk of Ranipet District.

Study Area and Methodology

Arakonam (13.077°N & 79.666°E) and Nemili (12.598°N & 78.515°E) taluks are situated in the eastern part of Ranipet district and 71km from Chennai. Ranipet District covers an extent of 2,234km² with a population of c. 1,210,000 (2011 census). The main occupations of the people are agriculture followed by weaving and employment as labourers in unorganized sectors. The major crops are paddy, jowar, bajra, ragi, pulses, groundnut, and vegetables. The minimum monthly mean temperature is 22.4°C and maximum is 34.1°C. The average annual rainfall in the district is 1000mm. (Figure 1).

With the assistance of informants (2) and land owners (2), I visited 30 villages covering the two taluks, identified five villages where flocks with sizeable number of Baya Weaverbirds occur and also where paddy is intensively cultivated. I visited each of these five village for three days consecutively, and counted the number of birds in each flock, number of visits to paddy crop, duration of foraging in each visit, roosting patterns and plants used for roosting. The observations were carried out from 06.00 to 18.00 hrs between December 2019 and March 2020. Number of birds in each flocks was counted



Figure 1. Study area map. a—India map showing Tamil Nadu | b— Tamil Nadu map showing Ranipet District, and | c—Ranipet District showing Arakonam and Nemili Taluks.

when they roosted on shrubs or herbs or power cables. Duration of foraging on paddy crop was observed using stop clock in smart phones. Photographs and videos were taken using Nikon P1000 digital camera.

Results and Discussion

A total of five flocks of Baya Weaverbirds consisting of 2130 individuals were counted in the study areas (Table1). As stated by Ali & Ripley (1987), there exists no differentiation in plumage between male and female during the nonbreeding season. They strictly followed communal roosting and foraging. The minimum flock size was 255 birds, while the maximum was 800. They moved as flocks from morning to evening and maintained the flock size throughout the day. On the contrary, during the breeding season (May-November) the males would moult into yellow plumage,

separate from the flock and move to nest-supporting plants for construction of nests followed by mating with selected counterparts. Pandian & Ahimaz (2018) have enumerated 4,476 Baya Weaverbirds on 270 nestsupporting plants i.e., an average of 16 birds per nestsupporting plant in rural Tamil Nadu.

It was observed that the flocks fly in close formations by performing different manoeuvers (Fig. 2a). The flocking behavior varies and the birds took various complicated formations to reach foraging as well as roosting sites. They commence their daily foraging between 06.00hr and 06.15hr in the morning and conclude their foraging before 18.00hr in the evening. Between 12.00hr and 15.00hr no foraging activities were observed and the flocks roost on nearby vegetations. Mean number of visits to paddy fields in the forenoon was 12 and 7 in the afternoon. The number of visits per day varies from a minimum of 18 to a maximum of 22. In each foraging visit, the flock stays on paddy crop only for a short span of time even when

there were no disturbances to them. They stay on the crop from 15 seconds to 25 seconds, glean paddy grains speedily and take sudden flight to adjacent places for temporary roosting. While, they stay on the crops for shorter duration, they roost on shrubs/herbs/power cables for longer duration. The duration of stay of these birds on paddy crops is shorter as compared to the duration spent roosting on shrubs/herbs/power cables. The reason could be disturbance caused by people by banging utensils or other means to chase them away from crops and the birds prefer to stay on the crops only for brief spells while foraging. The birds use five plant species such as Lantana camera L., Prosopis juliflora (Sw.) DC., Canthium coromandelicum L.. *Pithecellobium dulce* (Roxb.) Benth., and Abutilon indicum

(Link) Sweet. for roosting (Fig.



Figure 2. Foraging behavior of Baya Weaverbirds. a - A flock moving to paddy field | b-Roosting on *Prosopis juliflora*| c-and | d-Roosting on power lines | e-and | f-Roosting on Lantana camara | g-and | h-Birds foraging on paddy crop. © M. Pandian.

2b,e, f). They also roost on power cables forming a close pile. A maximum of 320 birds was observed on power cables in a single roost (Fig. 2c,d). As stated by Gadgil

Table 1. Details of flocks of Baya Weaverbirds foraging on paddy crop in Arakonam and Nemili Taluks.

	Name of the village	No. of flocks	No. of birds counted	No. of visits to crop per day	Average duration of foraging in each visit (seconds)
1	Guruvarajapet (13.128ºN & 79.575ºE)	1	800	20	20
2	Salai-Minnal (13.077ºN & 79.558ºE)	1	320	16	20
3	Melandurai (13.039ºN & 79.642ºE)	1	370	22	15
4	Palayapalayam (13.045°N & 79.504°E)	1	255	18	20
5	Melakadu (13.042ºN& 79.615ºE)	1	385	19	25
	Total	5	2130	95	20

& Ali (1975) the habit of communal roosting may helps them to communicate about source of food and protection from predators.

The present study reveals that a large flock containing few hundred individuals continuously visiting paddy crops before harvest and gleaning grains from the spikes cause considerable loss to the farmers and hence it matches with the observations of Dhindsa & Toor (1980) and Shyama (1997). Further quantitative study is required to estimate the exact damage caused to grain production per hectare by this bird.

Conclusion

The present investigation confined to a small geographical region (five villages) containing 2,130 birds reveals that the area has an enormous potential to support significantly high populations of *P. philippinus* during the non-breeding period and also cause considerable damages to paddy crops. Based on my observations the following measures have been proposed for securing the habitat for these birds.

(a) A special management plan could be devised for the area, considering the anthropogenic and natural stresses that the habitat is currently subjected to.

(b) Local community, particularly land holders, and agricultural workers, should be sensitized to understand the need to preserve the precious populations of Baya weaverbirds.

(c) A detailed systematic survey on the population status, flocking behaviours and impact of this bird on the paddy crops covering the entire Ranipet District may be carried out to help in drafting an action plan to conserve the populations of *P. philippinus*.

References

Ali, S. & S.D. Ripley (1987). Birds of India and Pakistan. Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 890pp.

Ali, S., Vijayakumar & C.V. Ambedkar (1957). Notes on the Baya weaver Bird. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society* 53(3): 381–389.

Avery, M.L. (1979). Food preferences and damage levels of some avian rice field pests in Malaysia. *Proceedings of Bird Control Seminar* 8: 161–166.

Birdlife International (2016). *Ploceus philippinus*: The red list of threatened species.

www.iucnredlist.org/details/ 22719005/0 (accessed on 6 February 2020).

Davis, T.A. (1974). Selection of nesting trees and the frequency of nest visits by Baya Weaver birds. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society* 71(3): 356–366. **Dhindsa, M.S. & H.S. Toor (1980).** Extent of bird damage

to rice nurseries in the Punjab and its control. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science* 50: 717–719.

Gadgil, M. & S. Ali (1975). Communal Roosting habits of Indian Birds. *Journal of Bombay Natural History Society* 72(3): 716–726.

Hamid Ali, M., B.H.K. Rao, N.S. Shivanarayan & K.S. Babu (1976). Bird Pests of Rice. *International Rice Commission Newsletter* 25: 51

Pandian, M. & P. Ahimaz (2018). Nesting behavior of the Baya Weaver Bird, *Ploceus philippinus* (Linnaeus) (Passeriformes: Ploceidae) in rural Tamil Nadu, India. *International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Sciences* 44(1): 33–42.

Rasmussen, P.C. & J.C. Anderton (2005). *The Birds of South Asia: The Ripley Guide,* 2 Volumes, Smithsonian Institute, Washington, D.C. & Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, 1067pp.

Shyama, S.K. (1997). Studies on the Avian pests of rice (*Oryza sativa*) in Goa. *Pavo* 35 (1&2): 129–133.

Acknowledgements: I thank D. Balaji (Villupuram), S. Kamarajan (Minnal), and V. Sumathi (Chennai) for assistance in data collection and A. Giridharan (Minnal) for help with data analysis and photography.

M. Pandian

No. F1901, AIS Housing Complex, Natesan Nagar West, Virugambakkam, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600092, India. Email: pandian.m14@gmail.com

Citation: Pandian, M. (2020). Foraging behaviours of Baya Weaver *Ploceus philippinus*, during nonbreeding seasons in northern Tamil Nadu, India. Bird-o-soar #50, In: *Zoo's Print* 35(6): 29–32.

Bird deaths by kite manjha in Jammu City



Clockwise from top left: Greater Coucal, Spotted Owlet, Blue Rock Pigeon, glass-coated nylon 'manjha'. © Rahul V. Singh.

As a unique tradition, people from all age groups engage in flying kites (from dawn to dusk) to mark the arrival of spring season in the country during the festival of Uttarayan (Makar Sankranti). Kites flown these days are known to use a powerful string that is made by applying glass powder on it (manjha) to provide it with a cutting edge. This practice of using a sharp thread has imposed a huge risk of life to birds, fruit-bats, macaques, and even humans (Bareth 2003; Prakash 2003). Earlier white thread 'sadda' was used without glass powder coating that caused no harm. The glass coated nylon thread, imported from China has a much larger impact on birds and bats as compared to terrestrial life forms (https://milaap.org/stories/savethe-birds). When in flight, they fail to notice the fine thread, which often entangles their bodies and injures them very badly, causing fractures, mutilation of wings, and nerve injuries. These strings unlike their cotton

counterparts used earlier, do not lose the strength even after getting wet but also stay for a long time in the environment creating potential threat for the birds, both local and migratory. These festivals on one hand bring joy for humans and on the other hand threat of life for the birds. With more kites competing for space with birds, the number of injuries has risen over the years (https:// www.globalgiving.org/projects/save-birdsfrom-kite-string-injuries/reports/).

In Ahmedabad alone, over 2,000 birds including rare and endangered species are injured annually (https://milaap.org/stories/ save-the-birds). Every year, all over India, several rescue missions are conducted by birders in which large numbers of birds are liberated from deadly thread traps; e.g., Babu et al. (2015) rescued 10-13 bird species in Bangalore, India. In 2018 alone, 4,000 birds were rescued in Gujarat by the State Forest Department after Makar Sankranti festival (Verchot 2019). In 2014, over 300 birds were severely injured during Uttarayan in Gujarat and Rajasthan (https://www.wti.org.in/news/ hundreds-of-birds-injured-during-kite-flyingfestival/).

The present study deals with the area of Jammu City which is located on the banks of the river Tawi. This city sees kite flying in festive season as well as on regular days. These observations were made twice a day, from 09.00–11.00 h and from 15.30– 18.00 h. The evidence was collected with photographs and identification of birds was done based on Salim & Daniel (2002). Observations were recorded from September 2014 to September 2015. A few avian species like *Psittacula krameri*, *Milvus migrans*, *Turdoides striata*, *Corvus macrorhynchos*, *Corvus splendens*, *Acridotheres fuscus*, and *Acridotheres ginginianus* were observed dominant in the study area. In the city areas, few house sparrows were also spotted. It was observed that anthropogenic activities (traffic, sound pollution, radiation) were high in the field due to which lesser number of birds resided in these areas.

Thousands of flying kites were recorded in the sky and after Uttarayan, a high number of dead bird species were also found hung on those kite strings. Only one black kite was found alive during rescue and other birds like Greater Coucal, two pigeons, and one Spotted Owl were dead. There may be thousands of other species also in threat or danger, which go out of record every year. In the last four to five years, awareness has increased among the people about wildlife/bird protection because the number of bird watchers is increasing day by day (Singh 2016). It has become an interesting affair amongst tourists in the areas where different bird species are present including rare, endangered and migratory birds. Ornithologists (professionals studying birds) are guite excited about the exploration of new places for birding especially in protected areas.

In October 2018, the government of Jammu & Kashmir organized a wildlife awareness program cum symposium to create awareness about the importance

of preserving wildlife. A wildlife week was celebrated in Jammu region at Shachera Conservation Reserve with active participation from the staff of Rajouri-Poonch Wildlife Division, students of Gujjar Hostel Rajouri, nature lovers, environmental activists, and locals. Another awareness program was conducted by the Department of Wildlife Protection on World Migratory Bird Day in Srinagar on 12 May 2019 with an aim to impart the importance of the migratory birds among the masses and the school going children (https://www.greaterkashmir. com/news/kashmir/department-of-wildlifeprotection-celebrates-world-migratory-birdday/).

Few measures have been suggested below to avoid the unnatural deaths of flying birds due to laxity by humans; some precautions can save their lives.

(i) Banning or avoiding the glass-coated nylon 'manjha'.

(ii) Exploring other states and advertising bird watching among people. Government must inquire and survey for other spots in the outskirts of Jammu City to develop a novel bird watching centre in the area to know more about these beautiful creatures and importance of their lives, and
(iii) Training/ awareness camps should be conducted every year so that people can

learn how to protect/save injured birds that are seen hung with 'manjha'. The ones alive can be saved if people have the proper knowledge to disentangle them.

References

Babu, S., S. Subramanya & M. Dilawar (2015). Kite flying: Effect of Chinese manja on birds in Bangalore, India. *Indian Birds* 10(1): 13–18.

Bareth, N. (2003). India celebrates kite flying. BBC News World Edition. URL: <u>http://news</u>.bbc.co.uk/2/ hi/south_asia/2657419.stm. Accessed on 12 August 2013.

Prakash, A. (2003). *Kites in India*. Normad Heritage Trust, Mumbai. 15pp. (<u>http://fighterkitecentral.com/</u>pdfs/KitesinIndia.pdf).

Salim, A. & J.C. Daniel (2002). *The Book of Indian Birds*, 13th Centenary edition. Bombay Natural History Society/Oxford University Press, Mumbai, 326pp

Singh, R.V. (2016). House sparrow ignored by humans in developing cities; need scientific evaluation: a case study of Dehradun District, India. *Journal of Global Agriculture and Ecology*, 5–4.

Verchot, M. (2019). A sky full of kites becomes a death trap for birds. (https://india.mongabay. com/2019/01/16/)

Rahul Vikram Singh

Department of Biotechnology, Graphic Era University, Dehradun Bell Road, Clementown, Uttarakhand 248002, India. Email: rahul.negi121@gmail.com

Citation: Singh, R.V. (2020). Bird deaths by kite *manjha* in Jammu City. Bird-o-soar #51, In: *Zoo's Print* 35(6): 33–35.

Vet Brief

Rediscovery of *Cyclopodia sykesii,* an ectoparasite on the Indian Flying Fox from Udayagiri Range, Odisha, India

Cyclopodia sykesii Westwood (1834) distributed in most of the Indian peninsula in the area of distribution of Pteropus medius except in northwestern India (Theodor 1959). Cyclopodia sykesii parasites on Pteropus medius, P. arial, P. intermedius, Cynopterus sphinx, Pipistrellus ceylonicus, Tupaia sp. and its distribution in India ranges from Kerala, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Gujarat, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Assam, West Bengal, and Odisha; and Maldives (Advani & Vazirani, 1981).

Cyclopodia sykesii Westwood (1834) is a dipteran insect in the family Nycteribiidae. These are blood sucking ectoparasite of fruit bats. The head is highly reduced to form a pin like structure for blood sucking. The legs are enlarged as compared to other dipterans with claws present to hold the hairs



Pteropus medius hanging from the Eucalyptus tree. © Ashirwad Tripathy.

of the host bat. Here, the host is *Pteropus medius* (Pteropodidae).

Pteropus medius is commonly called as Indian Flying Fox and the greater Indian Fruit Bat found in south Asia. It is the second largest bat in the world. It is nocturnal and feeds mainly on ripe fruits and nectar. This species is often regarded as vermin due to its destructive tendencies towards fruit farms, but it helps in pollination and seed propagation.

In Odisha *C. sykesii* was first observed on *Pteropus medius* in Balugaon, Puri District (Now Khordha District) by Annandale (1913)

Vet Brief



Spine like reduced head of *Cyclopodia sykesii*. © Ashirwad Tripathy.



Abdomen with hair tuft. © Ashirwad Tripathy.



Ventral portion of body. © Ashirwad Tripathy.

and from *Pteropus medius* from Satpada, Puri District by Caunter, (1908) (Scott, H., 1925). Till now no records about this insect has been found from Udayagiri Range, southern Odisha, India since 1913. Therefore, this is a rediscovery of *Cyclopodia sykesii* Westwood (1834) from southern Odisha after 107 years.

During a field survey in the mountain ranges of Udayagiri, Parlakhemundi Division, Gajapati District, Odisha (19.156° N & 84.144°E) on 8 September 2018 an accidental catch was made. Cyclopodia sykesii fell from the body of the *P. giganteus* during their flight and resting condition under a Eucalyptus tree roost. The samples were collected in 70% ethyl alcohol and identified as Cyclopodia sykesii.

Diagnosis: Palps laterally compressed, broad, with short terminal setae. Sclerite connecting the thoracic ctenidium with coxa two more or less narrowly triangular and covered with several rows of setae. Tergites of the male abdomen with either

Vet Brief



Dorsal portion of the body. © Ashirwad Tripathy.



Sample collection location. © Ashirwad Tripathy.

continuous or interrupted marginal rows of setae or short spines. Fifth and sixth tergite always much shorter than the preceding tergites. Anal segment of the male broadly conical, less than twice as long as wide at the base. A row of short thick spines at the hind-margin of sternite 5 of the male. Male genitalia: aedeagus membranous, without apodeme, completely invaginated inside the abdomen in the resting position. There is a connecting tube which bears a field of spines. Segmentation of the abdomen of the female much reduced. No sclerites between the basal sclerites and the anal segment, except sternite 7 which is transformed into a genital plate with spines at the posterior margin. Anal sclerite is absent (Theodor 1959).

References

Advani R. & T.G. Vazirani (1981). Studies on ectoparasites of bats of Rajasthan and Gujarat (India). *Records of the Zoological Survey of India, Occasional Paper* No. 22: 1–155.

Scott, H. (1925). Zoo-geographical and systematic notes on the Nycteribiidae (Diptera: Pupipara) of India, Ceylon and Burma. *Records of the Indian Museum* 27(5): 351–384.

Theodor, O. (1959). A revision of the genus *Cyclopodia* (Nycteribiidae, Diptera). *Parasitology* 49(1–2): 242. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/</u>s0031182000026858_

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank the senior curator in the Natural History Museum, London for identification of Nycteribiidae family. Also, I would like to thank Dr. Siba Prasad Parida, associate professor, Department of Zoology, Centurion University, Bhubaneswar for guiding me in the preparation of this manuscript.

Ashirwad Tripathy

Department of Silviculture and Agroforestry, Faculty of Forestry, Birsa Agricultural University, Kanke, Ranchi, Jharkhand 834006, India. Email: ashirwadaspire351@gmail. com

Citation: Tripathy, A. (2020). Rediscovery of *Cyclopodia sykesii,* an ectoparasite on the Indian Flying Fox from Udayagiri Range, Odisha, India. Vet Brief #12, In: *Zoo's Print* 35(6): 36–38.

Plastic in Elephant dung



Plastic sack in Asian Elephant dung.

Plastic pollution is one of the burning environmental issues. Many studies have been done on the occurrence of plastics in aquatic wildlife (Kurobe et al. 2013; Sigler 2014; Wilcox et al. 2015) but very few literature are available on the occurrence of plastics in terrestrial wildlife. Plastics are consumed by terrestrial animals like cattle, camels, hyenas, zebras, tigers, elephants and others. In many cases the tiny ingested plastics pass out through the digestive tract of animals without causing any harm, but there have also been cases when the plastics have blocked the digestive tract and caused death (Parker 2019). On 18 April 2018 at 18.16h, a dung pile of Asian Elephant *Elephas maximus* with pieces of plastic sack in it was seen in the Anaikatti Reserve Forest area (11.093°N, 76.784°E). It seemed as if the elephant had eaten something kept in that plastic sack.

The Anaikatti Reserve Forest comes under the Coimbatore Forest Division, a part of Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve. It is a tropical thorn and deciduous forest. It lies in an Attapadi-Boluvampatti Elephant Corridor. As elephants have large home ranges, this wildlife corridor is important as it helps them for their movement and interbreeding, thus helping in gene flow. There are lot of human settlements nearby. These settlements are surrounded by solar fence, thus restricting the free movement of elephants. Moreover, there is Coimbatore-Anaikatti State Highway which hinders their movement. Lots of banana plantations are also seen in this area and this attracts many elephants to come close to human habitation. According to the report of WTI (2017), increase in construction activities has led to the increase in humanwildlife interactions. Thus, there should be strict regulations as with more developmental activities comes more encroachment which then accelerates the issues of negative human-wildlife interactions and plastic pollution.

References

Menon, V., S.K. Tiwari, K. Ramkumar, S. Kyarong, U. Ganguly & R. Sukumar (eds.) (2017). *Right of Passage: Elephant Corridors of India* [2nd Edition]. Conservation Reference Series No. 3. Wildlife Trust of India, New Delhi. **Parker, L. (2019).** The World's Plastic Pollution Crisis explained <u>https://www.nationalgeograhic.</u> <u>com/environment/habitats/plastic-pollution/</u>

Rochman, C., E. Hoh, T. Kurobe & S.J. Teh (2013). Ingested plastic transfers hazardous chemicals to fish and induces hepatic stress. *Scientific Reports* 3: 3263. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep03263</u>

Sigler, M. (2014). The effects of plastic pollution on aquatic wildlife: current situations and future solutions. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution* 225: 2184.

Wilcox, C., E.V. Sebille & B.D. Hardesty (2015). Plastic in seabirds is pervasive and increasing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112(38): 11899–11904.

Ankita Das

Wildlife Institute of India, Chandrabani, Dehradun, Uttarakhand 248002, India. Email: ankitadas22.ad@gmail. com

Citation: Das, A. (2020). Plastic in Elephant dung. Mammal Tales #17, In: *Zoo's Print* 35(6): 39–40.

BOOK REVIEW

Valley of Hope: Moyar and Vultures Byju, H.

Book Review by Appavu Pavendhan President, The Nature and Butterfly Society A Pavendhan, 8 AKG Nagar, 3rd Street, Uppilipalayam, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641015 Email: appavu.pavendhan@gmail.com

The author has rightly warned us in his introduction that this is neither a book on vultures nor one on Moyar Valley. However, we find the entire narration is woven around these two basic threads.

Mysore Ditch or Moyar Ditch. How shocking to hear such a phrase for Moyar Gorge of the Nilgiri Biosphere. The British believed that this was responsible for the malarial infection (Hockings 1980). The 20-km Moyar Gorge and the surrounding valley is a unique landscape and terrain which every naturalist dreams to visit. The valley is also providing one breathing space for the magnificent vultures to hide, breed, and soar, especially in southern India.

The author narrates his experience in this 204-page, beautifully laid out, designed, and well-worded book. The black and white pictures placed at the start of every chapter blends well with the mood of the book; colour pictures instead would have spoiled it. It is an easy read, especially if you have been to this terrain as many of the names are familiar. For those who have not been there, the names and terrain may appear from a dreamy scape and eventually when they go, they will be happy to validate.

The author has taken the thread from the time he was introduced into the study project



until its meaningful completion. Although we may not find the results of the study in this book, we can easily infer the purpose of the study and the dedication the team has shown in their quest, in not so easy and welcome terrain, from the author's narrations. For an outsider, the field trips and studies inside a core forest may appear glamorous and charming, as they only see the end results, a mesmerising landscape, portraits of rare

BOOK REVIEW

species and calibrated and filtered out stories; but the reality is different, as is evident in the author's narration of tough trekking paths, dark evenings, unknown mammal calls amidst familiar bird calls, unexpected (but always prepared) collision with mammals, heat and dust, leeches and reptiles on the floor and what not! One need to be careful with resources on hand and be alert physically and mentally. All these are evident as the author unfolds one story after another, in a way not instilling fear in the minds of the readers, as otherwise, many naturalists will stay away from real conservation efforts in the core area along with the forest managers.

Any narration about the Western Ghats is incomplete without referring to the megafauna, Asian Elephant. Whatever be the purpose for which we step into the forest, the gentle giant is omni present, and the valley is no different as we could notice from numerous references the author mentions, along with the poster boy, the Tiger. The author's excitement of seeing not just one, but as many as four in a frame would equally excite us.

The author has divided the book into 18 chapters, each focusing on a specific experience. But a reader need not go through in a sequence; one can randomly pick any chapter and it still makes a good independent read without getting disconnected from the previous chapter.

On an overall perspective, it is a good read about a naturalist's experience in a jungle. It sure indicates the author's passion for the wildlife experience and some great memories with minute details. The author must be maintaining a well-chronicled diary of the events regularly. It is quite natural that we miss out many names we walk along, but he has a good account of many of these people.

The author has shared many interesting experiences throughout the book. These include a cattle herd standing against an elephant, failing to photograph a panther cub sighting, a forest officer trying to prove that vultures did exist when it was declared that there were none of them in the south of India, and many more.

The book also rightly touches upon issues related to ground level forest management, conservation needs, local people involvement, tribal role in the conservation and natural anger of a conservative-minded naturalist.

The author, H. Byju, deserves full appreciation in bringing out this book, which will be an interesting read, and will inspire many to write such books. Conservation does not confine itself to the ground level actions that are taken, but also taking and talking to the wider audience to understand the same. From that perspective, this book is a welcome addition.

Reference:

Hockings, P. (1980). Ancient Hindu Refugees. Mouton Publishers, 128pp.



ZOO'S PRINT Publication Guidelines

We welcome articles from the conservation community of all SAARC countries, including Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan, Sri Lanka and other tropical countries if relevant to SAARC countries' problems and potential.

Type — Articles of semi-scientific or technical nature. News, notes, announcements of interest to conservation community and personal opinion pieces.

Feature articles — articles of a conjectural nature — opinions, theoretical, subjective.

Case reports: case studies or notes, short factual reports and descriptions.

News and announcements — short items of news or announcements of interest to zoo and wildlife community

Cartoons, puzzles, crossword and stories

Subject matter: Captive breeding, (wild) animal husbandry and management, wildlife management, field notes, conservation biology, population dynamics, population genetics, conservation education and interpretation, wild animal welfare, conservation of flora, natural history and history of zoos. Articles on rare breeds of domestic animals are also considered.

Source: Zoos, breeding facilities, holding facilities, rescue centres, research institutes, wildlife departments, wildlife protected areas, bioparks, conservation centres, botanic gardens, museums, universities, etc. Individuals interested in conservation with information and opinions to share can submit articles ZOOS' PRINT magazine.

Manuscript requirements

Articles should by typed into a Word format and emailed to zooreach@zooreach.org. Avoid indents, all caps or any other fancy typesetting. You may send photos, illustrations, tables.

Articles which should contain citations should follow this guideline: a bibliography organized alphabetically and containing all details referred in the following style: surname, initial(s), year, title of the article, name of journal, volume, number, pages.

Editorial details

Articles will be edited without consultation unless previously requested by the authors in writing. Authors should inform editors if the article has been published or submitted elsewhere for publication.

Publication Information

ZOO'S PRINT, ISSN 0973-2543

Published at: Coimbatore Copyright: © Zoo Outreach Organisation Owner: Zoo Outreach Organisation, 12, Thiruvannamalai Nagar, Saravanampatti - Kalapatti Road, Saravanampatti, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641035, India.

Editor: Sanjay Molur Associate Editor: Daniel B. Ayyachamy Managing Editors: Latha G. Ravikumar & B. Ravichandran Editorial Assistants: R. Marimuthu & S. Radhika Copy Editor: Sapna Ramapriya

Zoo Outreach Organisation Trust Committee and Sr. Staff

Managing Trustee: Late Sally R. Walker Executive Director Trustee: R.V. Sanjay Molur Finance Director Trustee: Latha G. Ravikumar Scientist: B.A. Daniel Researcher: R. Marimuthu, Priyanka Iyer Other staff: B. Ravichandran, K. Geetha, S. Radhika, Arul Jagadish, K. Raveendran, S. Sarojamma

ZOO'S PRINT magazine is informal and newsy as opposed to a scientific publication. ZOO'S PRINT magazine sometimes includes semi-scientific and technical articles which are reviewed only for factual errors, not peer-reviewed.

Address

Zoo Outreach Organisation Post Box 5912, 12, Thiruvannamalai Nagar, Saravanampatti - Kalapatti Road, Saravanampatti, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641035, India Phone: +91 9385339862 & 9385339863 E-mail: zooreach@zooreach.org Website: www.zoosprint.zooreach.org, www.zooreach.org



